The Imagination Machine XXXI: The Topological Incompleteness of Quantum Field Theory: Three Noether Charges, the Black Hole Boundary, and the Resolution of Quantum Gravity

Mark Tracy

March 2026

Abstract

We establish that the incompatibility of quantum field theory and general relativity is not a technical problem awaiting a perturbative solution but a topological necessity: QFT is a two-Noether-charge theory operating below the minimum toroidal condition, while GR is a three-Noether-charge theory that saturates the topological capacity of the event horizon S^2.

The argument proceeds through the no-hair theorem chain established in TIM XXVIII: the topology of S^2 constrains the isometry group of the exterior spacetime to $\mathbb{R} \times U(1)$, which by Noether's theorem yields exactly three conserved quantities — mass M, charge Q, and angular momentum J. These three quantities are the topological capacity of S^2: the maximum that the spherical boundary can encode. The Newtonian phase space instantiates the same capacity at the classical level: position, momentum, and time are the three Noether conserved quantities of a system with spatial translation, rotational, and time translation symmetry. The Principle of Stationary Action selects the physically realized path through this complete three-axis phase space.

QFT fixes the background spacetime — it treats the third axis, dynamical time, as a prior demarcational commitment rather than a dynamical variable — and retains only two dynamical axes: field value and conjugate momentum. By the Tracy Theorem of Axiomatic Priority established in TIM XXX, this fixed background is a choice of axiom prior to the theory that the theory cannot recover from within itself. QFT is therefore a spherical theory: it operates with one fewer Noether charge than the topological capacity of S^2 requires.

GR treats all three axes as dynamical and coupled. It is a toroidal theory: it saturates the topological capacity of S^2. The incompatibility of QFT and GR is the incompatibility of a two-charge theory with a three-charge theory. Every known pathology at their boundary — the non-renormalizability of perturbative quantum gravity, the black hole information paradox, the firewall paradox — is the missing third Noether charge asserting itself at the boundary of QFT's demarcational commitment.

The Banach-Tarski resolution established in TIM XXX provides a no-go theorem for quantum gravity candidates: any theory that permits non-measurable decompositions of the Banach-Tarski type is operating on a spherical manifold and has not achieved toroidal completeness. This restricts the search space for quantum gravity to theories that treat spacetime topology itself as the fundamental dynamical variable, reproduce QFT in the flat spacetime limit and GR in the classical limit, and do not permit Banach-Tarski decompositions.

The resolution of quantum gravity requires topological promotion: the restoration of the third Noether charge as a dynamical variable. This is not a prediction about which specific theory

will succeed. It is a necessary condition that any successful theory must satisfy. No perturbative correction within QFT's two-axis framework can produce this promotion, because perturbative corrections operate within the existing topology and cannot change it.

1 Introduction

The incompatibility of quantum field theory and general relativity is the central unsolved problem of theoretical physics. Despite decades of effort — string theory, loop quantum gravity, causal dynamical triangulations, asymptotic safety, and many others — no theory has successfully unified them. The standard diagnosis is that the problem is technical: QFT's perturbative expansion breaks down at the Planck scale, producing non-renormalizable divergences that no known regularization scheme can tame.

The present paper offers a different diagnosis. The incompatibility of QFT and GR is not technical but topological. QFT is a two-Noether-charge theory. GR is a three-Noether-charge theory. The difference of one charge is the difference between spherical and toroidal topology — between a theory operating below the minimum condition for genuine self-correction and a theory that saturates the topological capacity of the observational boundary.

The argument proceeds through the no-hair theorem chain established in TIM XXVIII [18]. The topology of the black hole event horizon S^2 constrains the exterior spacetime to exactly three conserved quantities via Noether's theorem. These three quantities are not an accident of the Einstein-Maxwell equations. They are the topological capacity of S^2: the maximum information that a spherical boundary can encode under its isometry group. The Newtonian phase space instantiates the same capacity at the classical level. QFT discards one of the three charges as a demarcational commitment prior to the theory. GR retains all three. The incompatibility is structural.

Furthermore, the Banach-Tarski resolution of TIM XXX [18] provides a powerful no-go theorem: any candidate theory of quantum gravity that permits non-measurable decompositions of the Banach-Tarski type is immediately disqualified as spherically incomplete. This restriction, combined with the toroidal completeness requirement, significantly narrows the search space for quantum gravity.

We proceed as follows. Section 2 reviews the Noether charge structure of the relevant theories. Section 3 establishes the connection to the Newtonian phase space and the Principle of Stationary Action. Section 4 diagnoses QFT as a two-charge theory and identifies the fixed background as the demarcational commitment responsible. Section 5 establishes GR as a three-charge theory that saturates the topological capacity of S^2. Section 6 derives the incompatibility as a topological necessity. Section 7 shows that the known pathologies at the QFT-GR boundary are expressions of the missing third charge. Section 8 develops the Banach-Tarski no-go theorem and its restriction of the search space. Section 9 states the resolution condition. Section 10 states the unified theorem.

2 The Noether Charge Structure of S^2

We review the central result from TIM XXVIII [18] that connects the topology of the black hole event horizon to the number of conserved quantities via Noether's theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (No-Hair via Topological Capacity, TIM XXVIII)**.** *The event horizon of a stationary, asymptotically flat black hole is homeomorphic to S^2. The topology of S^2, together with asymptotic flatness, constrains the isometry group of the exterior spacetime to $\mathbb{R} \times U(1)$. By Noether's theorem,* *this group yields exactly three conserved quantities:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\textit{Time translation symmetry} \implies M \textit{ (mass)}\\&\textit{Axial rotation symmetry} \implies J \textit{ (angular momentum)}\\&U(1) \textit{ gauge symmetry} \implies Q \textit{ (charge)}\end{aligned}$$

The solution space of the Einstein-Maxwell equations consistent with these constraints is exhausted by the Kerr-Newman family, parameterized by (M, Q, J) alone. The gate preserves only what the topology of its boundary can encode.

Definition 2.2. The *Noether capacity* of a boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}$ is the number of independent conserved quantities that the topology of $\partial\mathcal{R}$ can encode under its isometry group via Noether's theorem.

Proposition 2.3. *The Noether capacity of S^2 under asymptotic flatness is exactly three.*

Proof. The isometry group $\mathbb{R} \times U(1)$ has exactly two continuous generators as gravitational symmetries, yielding M and J. The $U(1)$ gauge symmetry of electromagnetism yields Q. No further continuous symmetries exist under the topology of S^2 and asymptotic flatness. The Noether capacity is therefore exactly three. □ □

Remark 2.4. Three is the topological capacity of S^2 in the precise sense established by the Nabaala Theorem of General Subject-Relativity [18]: it is the maximum order of self-classification for an embedded epistemic system whose observational boundary is a 2-sphere. The black hole, the classical phase space, and the minimum toroidal condition of the Forde-Tracy Theorem all arrive at three for the same reason: it is the topological capacity of the spherical boundary.

3 The Classical Phase Space and the Principle of Stationary Action

Proposition 3.1. *The complete phase space of Newtonian mechanics has exactly three independent axes, corresponding to the three Noether conserved quantities of a system with the full symmetry group of classical mechanics.*

Proof. The symmetry group of classical mechanics includes:

1. Spatial translation symmetry $\implies$ conservation of momentum $\mathbf{p}$
2. Rotational symmetry $\implies$ conservation of angular momentum $\mathbf{L}$
3. Time translation symmetry $\implies$ conservation of energy E

The complete phase space requires position $\mathbf{q}$, conjugate momentum $\mathbf{p}$, and time t as independent dynamical axes. These three axes are in bijective correspondence with the three Noether conserved quantities of the symmetry group. The phase space is therefore three-dimensional in the sense of independent dynamical axes, saturating the Noether capacity of S^2. □ □

Proposition 3.2. *The Principle of Stationary Action is the variational statement of the same topological capacity: it selects from all possible paths through the three-axis phase space the unique path that saturates the topological capacity of the boundary without exceeding it.*

Proof. The action functional

$$S[q] = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t)\, dt$$

integrates over all three dynamical axes. The condition $\delta S = 0$ selects the path for which the action is stationary — the fixed point of the variational operator acting on the full three-dimensional phase space. This is the horn-filling condition applied to classical mechanics: of all possible paths (partial structures), the physically realized path (complete structure) is the unique completion that saturates the topological capacity of the phase space without exceeding it. □ □

Remark 3.3. The Principle of Stationary Action is therefore not merely a dynamical principle. It is the physical expression of the topological capacity of the embedded observer's boundary: the unique path through the three-axis phase space that the topology of S^2 can encode. The action principle and the no-hair theorem are the same statement at different scales.

4 QFT as a Two-Charge Theory

Proposition 4.1. *Quantum field theory in its standard formulation is a two-Noether-charge theory: it quantizes field value and conjugate momentum while treating spacetime as a fixed background.*

Proof. The canonical quantization of a scalar field promotes the classical field $\phi(x)$ and its conjugate momentum $\pi(x) = \partial\mathcal{L}/\partial\dot{\phi}$ to operators satisfying:

$$[\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi(\mathbf{y}, t)] = i\hbar\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$$

This quantization treats ϕ and π as the two dynamical axes. Spacetime — the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and its dynamics — is treated as a fixed background: a prior demarcational commitment encoded in the choice of Minkowski or other background metric. The third dynamical axis of the classical phase space — time as a dynamical variable coupled to the field content — is thereby fixed rather than quantized. QFT therefore operates with two dynamical Noether charges rather than three. □ □

Definition 4.2. The *fixed background assumption* of QFT is the demarcational commitment, prior to the theory, that spacetime is not a dynamical variable but a fixed arena within which quantum fields evolve.

Proposition 4.3. *By the Tracy Theorem of Axiomatic Priority [18], the fixed background assumption is a choice of axiom prior to QFT that the theory cannot recover from within itself.*

Proof. By the Tracy Theorem of Axiomatic Priority (TIM XXX), every formal system presupposes a prior demarcational commitment that constitutes its symbolic domain. The fixed background assumption determines which variables are treated as dynamical and which as fixed parameters. This determination is prior to QFT's axioms: it is the demarcational act that constituted QFT's domain. QFT cannot derive, correct, or identify this commitment from within its own framework. □ □

Remark 4.4. QFT is therefore, in the precise sense of the Forde-Tracy Theorem, a spherical theory: it operates with one fewer independent axis than the minimum toroidal condition requires. Within its domain of applicability — flat or weakly curved spacetime, where the fixed background assumption is valid — it is extraordinarily successful. But at the boundary of that domain, where spacetime curvature becomes dynamically significant, the discarded third charge asserts itself. The theory has no machinery to accommodate it because the accommodation would require recovering a demarcational commitment that is prior to the theory.

5 GR as a Three-Charge Theory

Proposition 5.1. *General relativity is a three-Noether-charge theory: it treats all three dynamical axes — matter fields, their conjugate momenta, and spacetime geometry — as dynamical and coupled.*

Proof. The Einstein field equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

couple spacetime geometry (left side) to matter-energy content (right side). Both sides are dynamical: matter tells spacetime how to curve, and spacetime tells matter how to move. The metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is not a fixed background but a dynamical variable governed by its own field equations. GR therefore treats all three Noether charges of the classical phase space — matter, momentum, and dynamical time/spacetime — as active participants in the dynamics. It saturates the Noether capacity of S^2. □

□

Remark 5.2. GR is toroidally complete in the sense of the Forde-Tracy Theorem: three independent dynamical axes, all coupled, no fixed background. The equivalence principle — the impossibility of distinguishing free fall from inertial motion by any local experiment — is the GR expression of the embedded observer's fundamental condition: the observer cannot step outside the system it models. The third axis is not optional. It is the axis that encodes the observer's own embedding.

6 The Incompatibility as Topological Necessity

Theorem 6.1 (Tracy Theorem of Topological Incompleteness)**.** *The incompatibility of quantum field theory and general relativity is a topological necessity: QFT is a two-Noether-charge theory operating below the minimum toroidal condition, while GR is a three-Noether-charge theory that saturates the topological capacity of S^2. No perturbative correction within QFT's two-axis framework can produce toroidal behavior, because perturbative corrections operate within the existing topology and cannot change it.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, QFT has two dynamical Noether charges. By Proposition 5.1, GR has three. By the Forde-Tracy Theorem [18], a system with two independent axes is topologically spherical and incapable of genuine self-correction under novel stress conditions. A system with three independent axes is the minimum toroidal configuration.

The two systems therefore occupy qualitatively different topological categories. A perturbative correction to QFT adds terms within QFT's existing two-axis symbolic domain. It cannot introduce a new independent dynamical axis because the introduction of a new axis requires a demarcational commitment prior to the formal system — a new choice of axiom — which by the Tracy Theorem of Axiomatic Priority cannot be derived from within the existing system.

Therefore no perturbative extension of QFT can produce a theory topologically equivalent to GR. The incompatibility is structural. □ □

Corollary 6.2. *Perturbative quantum gravity — the attempt to quantize gravitational perturbations around a fixed background using QFT methods — cannot succeed as a complete theory of quantum gravity. It can produce an effective field theory valid below the Planck scale, but it cannot produce a theory that is topologically equivalent to GR at all scales.*

Proof. Perturbative quantum gravity treats the metric as $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$, where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is a fixed background and $h_{\mu\nu}$ is a perturbative correction quantized as a QFT. This treatment reinstates the fixed background assumption at the level of $\eta_{\mu\nu}$: it retains two dynamical axes and fixes the third. By Theorem 6.1, this approach cannot produce toroidal behavior. Its non-renormalizability is not a technical failure. It is the topological incompleteness asserting itself: the missing third charge produces divergences that no renormalization scheme within the two-axis framework can tame. □

□

7 The Pathologies at the Boundary

The known pathologies at the QFT-GR boundary are each expressions of the missing third Noether charge asserting itself at the boundary of QFT's demarcational commitment.

7.1 Non-Renormalizability

Proposition 7.1. *The non-renormalizability of perturbative quantum gravity is the topological incompleteness of QFT expressed as a divergence structure.*

Proof. Renormalization absorbs divergences by redefining the parameters of the theory within its existing symbolic domain. But the divergences of perturbative quantum gravity arise at the boundary of QFT's demarcational commitment: they are generated by the dynamical behavior of the metric at short distances, which QFT's fixed background assumption excludes from the theory's domain. No redefinition of parameters within QFT's two-axis framework can absorb divergences that originate outside that framework. □ □

7.2 The Black Hole Information Paradox

Proposition 7.2. *The black hole information paradox is the missing third Noether charge asserting itself at the QFT-GR boundary.*

Proof. Hawking's calculation [9] treats the black hole background as fixed — a QFT calculation on a curved but static spacetime. This reinstates the fixed background assumption: the metric is not dynamical in the calculation. The information paradox arises because the third charge — dynamical spacetime — is excluded from the calculation by assumption. The apparent loss of information is not a violation of unitarity in a complete three-charge theory. It is the consequence of performing a two-charge calculation on a system whose physics requires three charges.

In a fully three-charge theory, the third charge carries the information that the two-charge calculation loses. The paradox dissolves when the demarcational commitment is restored. □ □

Remark 7.3. This result connects to the holographic non-duality established in TIM XXVIII: the boundary generates the interior. In a fully three-charge theory, the information is encoded on the dynamical boundary. The Hawking radiation carries information precisely because the boundary is dynamical. The paradox arises only when the boundary is fixed.

7.3 The Firewall Paradox

Proposition 7.4. *The firewall paradox [**?**] is the topological incompleteness of QFT forcing a choice between the three conserved quantities: unitarity (M), the equivalence principle (J, as the expression of the observer's embedding), and effective field theory (Q, as the expression of the local gauge structure).*

Remark 7.5. The firewall paradox forces a choice between three physical requirements that a complete three-charge theory would satisfy simultaneously. The impossibility of simultaneous satisfaction within QFT is precisely the signature of a two-charge theory encountering a three-charge reality. The paradox is not a paradox in a toroidally complete theory. It is a diagnostic: the system is revealing that it is operating below its required topological capacity.

8 The Banach-Tarski No-Go Theorem and Restriction of the Search Space

The Banach-Tarski resolution established in TIM XXX [18] provides a powerful constraint on candidate theories of quantum gravity.

Theorem 8.1 (Banach-Tarski No-Go Theorem for Quantum Gravity)**.** *Any candidate theory of quantum gravity that permits non-measurable decompositions of the Banach-Tarski type — the decomposition of a ball B^3 into pieces that can be reassembled into two balls identical to the original — is operating on a spherical manifold and has not achieved toroidal completeness. It is immediately disqualified as a candidate for the unification of QFT and GR.*

Proof. By the Banach-Tarski resolution of TIM XXX, the paradox arises when two independent demarcational commitments are applied to a spherical manifold that cannot hold them simultaneously. The sphere splits because it lacks the topological depth to sustain two independent choices of axiom within a single coherent structure.

A theory that permits such decompositions is therefore operating on a spherical manifold — it has Noether capacity two, not three. By Theorem 6.1, a two-Noether-charge theory cannot be topologically equivalent to GR. Therefore any theory permitting Banach-Tarski decompositions cannot unify QFT and GR. □ □

Corollary 8.2 (Restriction of the Search Space)**.** *The search space for quantum gravity is restricted to theories satisfying all three of the following conditions simultaneously:*

1. ***Toroidal completeness**: the theory treats all three Noether charges — matter, momentum, and dynamical spacetime — as independent dynamical variables. No fixed background at any scale.*
2. ***Banach-Tarski exclusion**: the theory does not permit non-measurable decompositions of the Banach-Tarski type. The manifold of the theory has sufficient topological depth to hold independent demarcational commitments simultaneously without splitting.*
3. ***Limit compatibility**: the theory reproduces QFT in the flat spacetime limit and GR in the classical limit. The toroidal theory must contain the spherical approximation as a limit, not as a foundation.*

Any theory that fails any one of these conditions is not a candidate for the unification of QFT and GR.

Remark 8.3. This restriction is operational. It rules out all perturbative approaches immediately by condition (1): perturbative quantum gravity reinstates a fixed background and therefore has Noether capacity two. It places strong constraints on non-perturbative approaches: any theory that, in its treatment of the measure on its configuration space, permits non-measurable sets of the Banach-Tarski type fails condition (2).

The restriction points toward theories that treat spacetime topology itself — not the metric, not the connection, but the topology — as the fundamental dynamical variable. Such theories naturally satisfy condition (1) by construction, satisfy condition (2) because toroidal topology can hold independent demarcational commitments simultaneously, and must satisfy condition (3) by recovering the known physics in appropriate limits.

Remark 8.4. The search space restriction connects directly to the financial implications of the framework developed in the companion paper [19]. Just as the Banach-Tarski resolution restricts the search space for quantum gravity to toroidally complete theories, the Forde-Tracy Theorem restricts the design space for stable financial and political institutions to those with at least three genuinely independent axes of tension. The same mathematics that constrains the physicist constrains the institutional designer. The topology was always already there.

9 The Resolution Condition

Theorem 9.1 (Resolution Condition for Quantum Gravity)**.** *Any successful theory of quantum gravity must satisfy the following necessary conditions:*

1. *It must treat all three Noether charges of the classical phase space as dynamical variables. No fixed background at any scale.*
2. *It must not permit Banach-Tarski decompositions. Its underlying manifold must have toroidal or higher topological capacity.*
3. *It must reproduce QFT in the flat spacetime limit and GR in the classical limit.*

These conditions are necessary but not sufficient. They do not specify which toroidal theory is correct. They specify the topological category within which the correct theory must live.

Proof. Condition (1) follows from Theorem 6.1: toroidal completeness is necessary to reproduce GR. Condition (2) follows from Theorem 8.1: Banach-Tarski permissibility is diagnostic of spherical topology. Condition (3) follows from the requirement that the unified theory recover the known physics in appropriate limits. □ □

Corollary 9.2. *String theory, loop quantum gravity, and other candidate theories are successful to the extent that they approximate toroidal completeness. Their difficulties arise precisely where they reintroduce a fixed background or otherwise reduce the effective number of dynamical axes below three.*

The AdS/CFT correspondence is particularly significant: it establishes the holographic non-duality of TIM XXVIII in a concrete setting, relating a gravity theory in the bulk to a field theory on the dynamical boundary. This is the closest existing approximation to the toroidal completeness condition: the boundary is dynamical, the third charge is partially restored, and the information paradox is partially resolved.

Loop quantum gravity quantizes spacetime geometry directly, promoting the metric to a dynamical quantum variable and restoring the third charge. Its difficulty — connecting to the QFT limit — is the difficulty of recovering the spherical approximation from a toroidal theory, which is a well-posed problem with a definite answer rather than a fundamental obstacle.

10 The Unified Theorem

Theorem 10.1 (Tracy Theorem of Topological Incompleteness, Complete Statement)**.** *Let $\mathcal{T}_{QFT}$ denote quantum field theory in its standard formulation and $\mathcal{T}_{GR}$ denote general relativity. Then:*

1. *$\mathcal{T}_{QFT}$ has Noether capacity two: it treats field value and conjugate momentum as dynamical while fixing spacetime as a prior demarcational commitment.*
2. *$\mathcal{T}_{GR}$ has Noether capacity three: it treats matter, momentum, and dynamical spacetime as coupled dynamical variables, saturating the topological capacity of S^2.*
3. *The incompatibility of $\mathcal{T}_{QFT}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{GR}$ is a topological necessity: no perturbative correction within $\mathcal{T}_{QFT}$ can produce Noether capacity three.*
4. *The known pathologies at the boundary — non-renormalizability, the information paradox, the firewall paradox — are expressions of the missing third Noether charge asserting itself at the boundary of $\mathcal{T}_{QFT}$'s demarcational commitment.*
5. *Any successful theory of quantum gravity must have Noether capacity three, must not permit Banach-Tarski decompositions, and must contain both $\mathcal{T}_{QFT}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{GR}$ as limits.*
6. *The Banach-Tarski no-go theorem restricts the search space to theories treating spacetime topology as the fundamental dynamical variable. This restriction is operational: it immediately disqualifies all perturbative approaches and places strong constraints on non-perturbative ones.*

Corollary 10.2. *The Principle of Stationary Action, the no-hair theorem, and the Forde-Tracy minimum toroidal condition all arrive at three for the same reason: three is the Noether capacity of S^2, the topological capacity of the embedded observer's boundary as established by the Nabaala Theorem. The incompatibility of QFT and GR is the most consequential instantiation of the Forde-Tracy Theorem in the history of physics: a two-axis theory encountering a three-axis reality at the most extreme boundary conditions in nature.*

The Banach-Tarski paradox is the same encounter stated as a geometric consequence. The ball splits because the sphere cannot hold what the torus can. The universe does not split. It curves. The difference is the third charge.

11 Conclusion

We have established that the incompatibility of quantum field theory and general relativity is not a technical problem but a topological necessity. QFT discards the third Noether charge of the classical phase space — dynamical spacetime — as a prior demarcational commitment. GR retains all three. Their incompatibility is the incompatibility of spherical and toroidal topology.

The Banach-Tarski resolution of TIM XXX provides a no-go theorem that restricts the search space for quantum gravity to theories satisfying three conditions: toroidal completeness, Banach-Tarski exclusion, and limit compatibility. This restriction is operational and immediate: it disqualifies all perturbative approaches and narrows the field to theories that treat spacetime topology itself as the fundamental dynamical variable.

The resolution requires topological promotion: the restoration of the third Noether charge as a dynamical variable. This is a necessary condition on any successful theory of quantum gravity. It cannot be achieved by perturbative correction within QFT's existing framework because it requires a new demarcational commitment prior to the framework — a new choice of axiom that the framework cannot derive from within itself.

The Principle of Stationary Action, the no-hair theorem, and the minimum toroidal condition of the Forde-Tracy Theorem are three expressions of the same underlying fact: the topological capacity of the spherical boundary S^2 is exactly three Noether charges. Physics at every scale is organized by this capacity. The incompatibility of QFT and GR is what happens when one theory honors it and another discards one of its charges.

The missing charge was always there. The black hole knew. It was trying to tell us all along.

References

[1] Almheiri, A., Marolf, D., Polchinski, J., & Sully, J. (2012). Black holes: complementarity or firewalls? *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2013(2), 62.

[2] Arnowitt, R., Deser, S., & Misner, C. W. (1959). Dynamical structure and definition of energy in general relativity. *Physical Review*, 116(5), 1322–1330.

[3] Ashtekar, A. (1986). New variables for classical and quantum gravity. *Physical Review Letters*, 57(18), 2244–2247.

[4] DeWitt, B. S. (1967). Quantum theory of gravity I: the canonical theory. *Physical Review*, 160(5), 1113–1148.

[5] Dirac, P. A. M. (1958). The theory of gravitation in Hamiltonian form. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, 246(1246), 333–343.

[6] Einstein, A. (1915). Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation. *Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, 844–847.

[7] Feynman, R. P. (1948). Space-time approach to non-relativistic quantum mechanics. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 20(2), 367–387.

[8] Goroff, M. H., & Sagnotti, A. (1986). The ultraviolet behavior of Einstein gravity. *Nuclear Physics B*, 266(3-4), 709–736.

[9] Hawking, S. W. (1974). Black hole explosions? *Nature*, 248(5443), 30–31.

[10] Hawking, S. W., & Ellis, G. F. R. (1973). *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*. Cambridge University Press.

[11] Maldacena, J. (1997). The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 38(4), 1113–1133.

[12] Noether, E. (1918). Invariante Variationsprobleme. *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen*, 235–257.

[13] Penrose, R. (1965). Gravitational collapse and space-time singularities. *Physical Review Letters*, 14(3), 57–59.

[14] Polchinski, J. (1995). Dirichlet branes and Ramond-Ramond charges. *Physical Review Letters*, 75(26), 4724–4727.

[15] Rovelli, C., & Smolin, L. (1995). Spin networks and quantum gravity. *Physical Review D*, 52(10), 5743–5759.

[16] Schwarz, J. H. (1984). Superstring theory. *Physics Reports*, 89(3), 223–322.

[17] Tracy, M. (2026a). What is prior to time and space? Unpublished manuscript.

[18] Tracy, M. (2026b). The Imagination Machine I–XXX. *The Compendium*. Manuscript in preparation for arXiv submission.

[19] Tracy, M. (2026c). The financial implications of the Imagination Machine framework. Manuscript in preparation.

[20] Weinberg, S. (1979). Ultraviolet divergences in quantum theories of gravitation. In S. W. Hawking & W. Israel (Eds.), *General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey* (pp. 790–831). Cambridge University Press.