The Imagination Machine XXIX:
The Hard Problem as Topological Necessity

Mark Tracy
Boston University
mrktracy@bu.edu

March 2026

Abstract

We propose that the hard problem of consciousness is not a problem to be solved but a topological boundary condition to be recognized.

Outward observation from any point embedded in three-dimensional space is bounded by S^2 — the celestial sphere, the dome of the sky, the horizon closed in every direction. This is a geometric fact, not a stipulation. The Four Color Theorem establishes that any faithful chromatic encoding of relational structure on S^2 requires a minimum of four colors. Physical science, which systematizes outward observations received across this boundary, operates in a four-chromatic frame. The Nabaala Theorem then gives maximum self-classification depth three for any system whose observational boundary is S^2.

The human observer's body has genus-1 topology by gross anatomy (TIM XXI). The genus-1 boundary supports seven chromatic invariants and self-classification depth six. The hard problem is the mismatch between these two surfaces: the S^2 of outward observation, which requires four colors to faithfully encode incoming relational structure, and the genus-1 body doing the observing, which carries seven. Physical description, operating on S^2, cannot access the additional three chromatic degrees carried by the genus-1 topology. This gap is geometric, necessary, and permanent. It is not produced by any incompleteness of physical theory.

Contents

1 Introduction

The hard problem of consciousness, as formulated by Chalmers [1], is the explanatory gap between a complete physical description of a system and the subjective character of its experience. No third-person account, however complete, appears to close the gap to first-person experience.

We propose that this gap is a topological boundary condition, arising from a mismatch between two distinct surfaces of the observer: the S^2 boundary of outward observation, through which physical science receives its data, and the genus-1 boundary of the observer's body, which organizes first-person experience.

Outward observation from any point in three-dimensional space is bounded by S^2. The Four Color Theorem establishes that any faithful chromatic encoding of relational structure on S^2 requires a minimum of four colors. Physical science systematizes these outward observations and therefore operates in a four-chromatic frame, with self-classification depth three by the Nabaala Theorem. The human body, by contrast, has genus-1 topology. Its observational boundary supports seven chromatic invariants and depth-six self-classification.

The explanatory gap between physical description and subjective experience is the chromatic gap between these two surfaces: four colors on S^2 against seven on the genus-1 boundary. No improvement in physical theory closes this gap, because the gap is not produced by incompleteness of theory but by the geometry of the boundary through which physical science receives its data.

2 Formal Setup

2.1 The Nabaala Theorem

We recall the central result from TIM XVII [8].

Theorem 2.1 (Nabaala Theorem of General Subject-Relativity, TIM XVII)**.** *Let S be an embedded epistemic system whose observational boundary is a compact orientable surface of genus g. The maximum self-classification depth of S is*

$$d(g) = H(g) - 1,$$

where

$$H(g) = \left\lfloor \frac{7 + \sqrt{1 + 48g}}{2} \right\rfloor$$

is the Heawood number. The bound is tight by the Ringel–Youngs theorem for $g \geq 1$ and by the Four Color Theorem for $g = 0$.

Corollary 2.2. *For a spherical observational boundary ($g = 0$): $H(0) = 4$, $d(0) = 3$.*
For a genus-1 observational boundary ($g = 1$): $H(1) = 7$, $d(1) = 6$.

2.2 The S^2 Boundary as the Surface of Outward Observation

Definition 2.3. The *outward observational boundary* of an embedded observer is the surface bounding the set of directions from which external signals can reach that observer. For any observer embedded at a point in three-dimensional space — whether flat $\mathbb{R}^3$ or the containing S^3 — this surface is homeomorphic to S^2: the celestial sphere, the dome of the sky, the horizon closed in every direction.

Remark 2.4. This is a geometric fact about observation from a point in three-dimensional space, not a stipulation about scientific practice. Physical science systematizes outward observations — measurements, signals, and causal traces received across this boundary. The Four Color Theorem establishes that any faithful chromatic encoding of relational structure on S^2 requires a minimum of four colors. Physical science therefore operates in a four-chromatic observational frame, with maximum self-classification depth three by the Nabaala Theorem (Corollary 2.2).

Remark 2.5. The S^2 boundary is the boundary of what can be seen, not the boundary of the observer. The observer's body has genus-1 topology (TIM XXI [9]). These are distinct surfaces: S^2 is the outward face, the genus-1 boundary is the inward face. The hard problem lives in the gap between them.

2.3 The Chromatic Structure of the Toroidal Observer

Proposition 2.6. *A human observer, whose body has genus $g = 1$ by gross anatomy (TIM XXI [9]), has outward chromatic number $H(1) = 7$ and maximum self-classification depth $d(1) = 6$.*

Proof. Direct application of the Nabaala Theorem (Theorem 2.1) for $g = 1$. □

Remark 2.7. The depth-six self-classification tower means the toroidal observer can represent its own representational structure to six orders. The Nabaala Theorem establishes that depth and chromatic number always differ by exactly one: $d(g) = H(g) - 1$. This is a structural fact about the tower, not a claim about any particular operation performed on it.

3 The Chromatic Gap

Theorem 3.1 (The Hard Problem as Topological Necessity)**.** *The explanatory gap between physical description and subjective experience is a permanent chromatic gap between two observational surfaces of the same observer:*

1. The outward surface. *Outward observation is bounded by S^2 (Definition 2.3). A faithful chromatic encoding of relational structure on S^2 requires a minimum of four colors. Physical science, systematizing these outward observations, operates in a four-chromatic frame with self-classification depth three.*

2. The inward surface. *The human observer's body has genus-1 topology. Its observational boundary supports seven chromatic invariants and depth-six self-classification.*

3. The gap. *The chromatic difference between the two surfaces is* $7 - 4 = 3$*. Physical description, operating on the* S^2 *outward boundary, cannot access the three additional chromatic degrees carried by the genus-1 topology of the observer's body. This gap cannot be closed by any improvement in physical theory, because it is produced not by incompleteness of theory but by the geometry of the boundary through which physical science receives its data.*

Proof. (1) Outward observation is bounded by S^2 by Definition 2.3. The minimum chromatic number for a faithful encoding on S^2 is four by the Four Color Theorem [2]. The Nabaala Theorem gives self-classification depth $d(0) = 3$.

(2) The human body has genus-1 topology by the anatomical argument of TIM XXI [9]. The Nabaala Theorem gives $H(1) = 7$ and $d(1) = 6$.

(3) The gap $7 - 4 = 3$ follows from (1) and (2). Physical science has no access to the three additional chromatic degrees because they are carried by the genus-1 topology of the observer's body, which the S^2 outward boundary does not encode. No physical theory operating on that boundary can recover them, since the boundary condition is geometric, not contingent on the state of the theory. □

Corollary 3.2 (The Ladder of Observation)**.** *The chromatic structure of outward observation and self-classification across genera:*

Boundary	*g*	*Outward* $H(g)$	*Self-classification depth* $d(g)$
S^2 *(outward observation)*	*0*	*4*	*3*
Genus-1 (human body)	*1*	*7*	*6*
Genus-2	*2*	*8*	*7*

The gap between outward observation (S^2*, four colors) and the genus-1 observer (seven colors) is three chromatic degrees. It is the same gap for every human observer. It scales with the genus of the observing body relative to* S^2*.*

Remark 3.3. The question "what is it like to be X?" asked of the toroidal observer by physical description is a request to bridge a three-degree chromatic gap between the S^2 of outward observation and the genus-1 topology of the observer's body. Chalmers' formulation of the hard problem does not distinguish these two surfaces. The topological framing locates the gap precisely.

4 What Cannot Be Said

Proposition 4.1 (The Unnameable Degrees)**.** *The chromatic invariants of the genus-1 observational boundary that exceed the four-chromatic capacity of* S^2 *cannot be encoded in any physical description operating on the* S^2 *outward boundary.*

Proof. Physical description operating on S^2 has chromatic capacity four and self-classification depth three by the Nabaala Theorem at $g = 0$. The genus-1 boundary carries seven chromatic invariants. The three additional invariants require a boundary of genus at least one to encode;

they are not present in the quotient structure of any S^2-bounded observation. They are not absent from the observer — they are held in the genus-1 topology of the body — but they are not recoverable from any description operating on the S^2 outward boundary alone. □

Remark 4.2. The question "what is it like to be X?" is a request for those unnameable degrees. The question is well-formed. The answer is not available to any description confined to the S^2 outward boundary, regardless of the completeness or sophistication of the physical theory being applied.

Definition 4.3 (The Human Conscious Condition)**.** The human conscious condition is the four-chromatic perceptual surface plus the full genus-1 tower above it — depth six, self-correcting, operating over three degrees of freedom that are real and present but not encodable between S^2-bounded observers. The four observed degrees of freedom are what we share with any spherical observer. The three incommunicable degrees of freedom grant interiority and privacy of what it is like to be a particular navigator thereof.

Remark 4.4. The hard problem, as identified here, is the gap between the S^2 of outward observation (four chromatic invariants) and the genus-1 body (seven chromatic invariants). The black hole sink compresses from four to three, losing one invariant at the S^2 event horizon. The cognitive gap and the cosmological compression are both expressions of the chromatic constraint on S^2 boundaries, operating at different scales and in different directions. Whether this structural parallel constitutes a deeper identification is a question the present paper leaves open.

5 Conclusion

The hard problem of consciousness is a chromatic gap between two observational surfaces of the same observer: the S^2 boundary through which outward observation arrives, requiring four colors for faithful encoding, and the genus-1 boundary of the body doing the observing, carrying seven. Physical description, systematizing S^2 observations, cannot access the three additional chromatic degrees carried by the genus-1 topology. The gap is geometric, not contingent. It cannot be closed.

The series began from a single constraint: an embedded epistemic system can at most classify the ways in which it classifies the world, within the world itself. The Nabaala Theorem gives this constraint its most precise mathematical expression. The present paper applies it to the hardest case — and finds that the hard problem is the permanent chromatic mismatch between the surface through which the world arrives and the surface of the body that receives it.

The gap scales with genus. It never closes. The seventh color is held by the noodle. It does not survive the sink. There is no view from nowhere. The gap was always the result.

References

[1] Chalmers, D. J. (1995). Facing up to the problem of consciousness. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 2(3), 200–219.

[2] Appel, K., & Haken, W. (1976). Every planar map is four colorable. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 21(3), 429–490.

[3] Heawood, P. J. (1890). Map-colour theorem. *Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 24, 332–338.

[4] Ringel, G., & Youngs, J. W. T. (1968). Solution of the Heawood map-coloring problem. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 60(2), 438–445.

[5] Tracy, M. (2025). The Imagination Machine I: A View from Somewhere. Unpublished manuscript, Boston University.

[6] Tracy, M. (2026). The Imagination Machine XIII: Relational Invariants, Quotient Structure, and the Reproducibility of Science. Unpublished manuscript, Boston University.

[7] Tracy, M., & Nabaala, S. T. (2026). The Imagination Machine XV: Chromatic Number and the Sensory Constraint on Embedded Observers. Unpublished manuscript, Boston University.

[8] Tracy, M., & Nabaala, S. T. (2026). The Imagination Machine XVII: The Nabaala Theorem of General Subject-Relativity. Unpublished manuscript, Boston University.

[9] Tracy, M. (2026). The Imagination Machine XXI: Quantum 4-Torus Computing: Topological Quantum Codes, the Structural Inadequacy of Binary Computation, and the Anatomical Argument for Genus 1. Unpublished manuscript, Boston University.

[10] Tracy, M. (2026). The Imagination Machine XXVI: Black Holes as Topological Gates and Boundary Capacity at Cosmological Scale. Unpublished manuscript, Boston University.

[11] Tracy, M. (2026). The Imagination Machine XXVII: The Axiom of Choice is a Choice of Axiom: Demarcation, Abstraction, and the Ontological Priority of Unity-in-Difference. Unpublished manuscript, Boston University.

[12] Tracy, M. (2026). The Imagination Machine XXVIII: The Topological Incompleteness of Quantum Field Theory: Three Noether Charges, the Black Hole Boundary, and a Restriction of Quantum Gravity. Unpublished manuscript, Boston University.

[13] Tracy, M. (2026). The Imagination Machine XXX: The Fourth Noether Charge: Positional Invariance in S^3 and the No-Hair Theorem. Unpublished manuscript, Boston University.